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ABSTRACT

The pre-modern practices of political institutions of Muslims are no longer compatible with nation-state framework. The new classifications, Dâr al-Shahâdah (Abode of Testimony), Dâr al-Ahd (Abode of Truce) and Dâr al-Amân (Abode of Peace) have been the contemporary additional terms (Mustalhât al-Mäsirah al-Harbiyya) to the classical legalistic framework which classifies the countries into Dâr al-Islam (Abode of Islam) and Dâr al-Harb (Abode of War). This paper will analyse the contribution of modern Muslim scholars in the emergence of the concept on the one hand while reformist scholars on the other hand. The basic idea of citizenship (Muwātana) seems to treat all the citizens alike and make the concept wider, inclusive and cohesive in light of Darūrah (Necessity/Compulsion). In the given context, the paper will highlight how has the concept of citizenship evolved during the course of time from Caliphate (632-661 C.E) to the Ottoman Empire (1299-1924 C.E) and afterwards.

1. INTRODUCTION

Citizenship is a legal, constitutional and political relationship between an individual and the state, founded on the principle of sovereign community, corresponding to rights and obligations. It is the basic right through which the state guarantees certain rights and in turn imposes some obligations (UNHCR, 2005). It is a relationship which qualifies an individual to be called a citizen of a particular state; e.g., an individual living in India, and having this relationship with the state, will be called an Indian. (Shahriyat, 2015, 83). Citizenship has become one of the main necessities of our times and even slightest negligence as a citizen can land one in trouble or make a person stateless, making him devoid of all basic rights and obligations (Nationality, 2005, 1).

Over the last decade, the conception and rights of citizenship have had a great impact throughout the world (Heater, 2004, 6-79). The concept of citizenship is an integral and inseparable part of the modern nation-state, which can be understood by the fact that a stateless person can’t be a citizen of any country and cannot enjoy the rights and privileges otherwise offered through citizenship. Citizenship represents a basic claim of the citizens vis-a-vis the state to the civil, social, political and economic resources best represented by the term Muwātana (Davis, 1997, 19-20).

Muwātana "Democratic Citizenship" is different from Jinsiyah "Passport Citizenship" as passport expires and without passport, one can still be citizen of any state, while there can be no passport without Citizenship (20).

The basic nature of Islam is unity and oneness of all Muslims irrespective of their places of origin race or nationality. The Quranic concept of Ummah unites together all the identities wherein colour, religion, race and similar other affiliations didn’t fragmentise this conception (The Holy Qur’an, 49:13). Islam generally advocates a system wherein there is no curb on the movement of individuals from one place to another (The Holy Qur’an, 29:20). The concept of citizenship gained importance because the borders were sealed and the movement of people was restricted from one country to another. The Current changes in the international and political scenario has forced the nations to lay restrictions and make laws for safety and security of its nationals. These circumstances have led to the adoption of Citizenship in this new world order. Citizenship, in its modern sense, is a modernist phenomenon, but its roots may be traced back to our traditions and culture. The terminology and context are the differences between the modernist and classical Islamic frameworks of citizenship. The modern concept of citizenship is integrally related to the nation-
state structure, in which the government is required to keep track of persons in order to grant them rights, responsibilities, and other benefits. To receive these privileges, you must first register as a citizen. The Caliphate (632-661 C.E) provides us an example regarding it; there was no systematic arrangement of Wazāif from the Bait al-Māl (Treasury) throughout the Prophetic time and the Caliphate of Abu Bakr ُرَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنْهُ (رضي الله عنره), but the first such census was taken during the caliphate of Umar ُرَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنْهُ (رضي الله عنه) for the proper and timely disbursement of Wazāif (Pensions). (Suyuti, 1995, 135).

2. RESEARCH METHODS

The current research is qualitative in nature and methodology used has been historical, descriptive, analytical as well as exploratory. The author(s) have employed primary as well as secondary sources available on the theme. In order to investigate the phenomena of a changing conception of citizenship that has developed over time, this study employs descriptive qualitative research methodologies. The goal of qualitative descriptive research is to present a comprehensive, wide-ranging account of the concept's evolution in order to give full insight into the current debate surrounding the notion of citizenship within the context of Islamic tradition and history. The research is historical in nature as the concept itself has its foundations in the history of the Muslim empires from the Islamic State of Medinah to the Ottoman Caliphate and the concept continued to change its implications in the Muslim nation states thereafter.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Emergence of the Concept

In the 1990s, Citizenship became established as a de facto field in the humanities and social sciences ((Loon and Anghelescu, 2010). Citizenship studies arose in response to broader conditions defined as "post modernization" and "globalization" as well as concrete manifestations such as class reconfiguration, the emergence of new international government regimes, new rationalities of government, and new social movements and their struggles for recognition and redistribution (Inglehart, 2000, 215-228). All of this has compelled academics, practitioners, and activists to reconsider the political agent or subject in the face of these changes. Immigrants, aboriginal peoples, refugees, diasporic groups, environmental injustices, and homelessness are all major societal challenges and increasingly been expressed through the language of rights and obligations, and hence of citizenship (Isin and Turner, 2002, 1).

The Graeco-Roman conceptions of Citizenship are the two classical conceptions from which the modern concept of citizenship has evolved (Pocock, 1995, 29-52). Citizenship was a special status in Greek polis, intimately linked to political activity. The Roman republic, on the other hand, evolved a more pragmatic, legalistic approach. As the empire grew, so did the empire's vision of citizenship. The Greek Conception of citizenship was a privilege of a minority where Slaves, Children, Women and elders were denied Citizenship (Bellamy, 2014, 3 & Matias, 2016, 7-41). The Athenian males above the age of 20 or over were eligible to be citizens, have known Athenian citizen ancestors, be the patriarch of a home, be a warrior with arms and the ability to battle (4). Aristotle defines citizen as one who can have access to legal processes or one who can participate in giving judgements. This definition effectively excludes majority of the domiciles from the citizenship rights (Aristotle: 1962, 167-168). The Greek concept of citizenship was exclusive and limited; therefore, it was up to the Romans to define citizenship. Philosophers and emperors collaborated to create a kind of citizenship that was both practical and ethical. The main distinction from the Greeks was that it was extended to the rest of the world. It was extended to the plebeians, disadvantaged aliens domiciled in Rome, tradesmen, and merchants, which was a major distinction from the Greeks. To simplify the debate, we can say that the Roman conception of citizenship was juridical, pliable, intellectual and international, whereas the Greek conception was highly personalistic, organic and restrictive (Gorman, 1992, 13).

3.2. Modern Perspective

The Citizenship as a modern concept came to limelight after two landmark events in the pre-modern world. The French revolution (1789) with emphasis on liberty, equality and fraternity leading towards the framework of equal rights and obligations and American Declaration of Independence (1776) (Salam, 1997,126). The revolution resulted in the emergence of world-wide movements aimed for gaining rights and having say in the affairs of their respective lands, henceforth called nations.

These developments in the west had their impact on the Muslim world particularly on Ottoman Empire. It resulted in widespread discontent and emergence of reform movements which demanded the adoption of western styles of governance. These developments in the west had their impact upon the social and political structure of the Muslim World. From the nineteenth century onwards, various reformers and reform movements arose in the Muslim world.
for bringing institutional, military and educational reforms with getting impetus from the renaissance in west. The incumbent Ottoman Empire faced with economic, social and political crisis set foot on reforms culminating in the famous Tanzimât Reforms (Hasan, 2015, 63-65). With the Ottoman citizenship law of 1869, the European conception of “Nation and Nationhood” gradually penetrated in the Muslim World (Akhoundaz, 2009, 151). The 1839 Gulhane decree (Hatt-i-Shari‘i) promised a slew of reforms, including the complete elimination of jizya and other poll taxes on non-Muslims, as well as equal citizenship status for Jews, Christians, and Muslims. In 1843, a new penal code was enacted, attempting to keep pace with the rest of Europe in modernizing and updating its mediaeval religious heritage (Hussain, 2011). The Decree of 1839 abolished the Dhimmî status, establishing equality between Muslim and non-Muslim citizens, making them to pay the same amount of taxes, and allowing all citizens to hold political and administrative positions and join the army. As a result, the Affirmation of Regulations (Tanzimât Fermanî, 1839) can be considered the first modern- Islamic declaration of human rights (Senturk, 2002, 10).

In backdrop of this illustration to the concept of citizenship, Ṭāriq al-Bishrî and Fahmî Huwaydî are the earlier and influential scholars who have worked and have written about the concept of citizenship (Malik, 2018, 7, Bishrî, 1988 & Huwaydî, 1999). Rifah al-Tehtawi, the Egyptian-Azharî scholar was the first scholar to introduce the concept of Nation (Watan) and Citizenship (Muṭâna) in his work Hubb al-Watan (Love for the nation). This was later on added by Tunisian scholar Khâirur Din al-Tunishi (1810-1899) in his work Al Watanîyya wa al-Dîn (Nationalism and Religion). At the end of 19th and early 20th century; the Salafiyah (not Wahabbiyyah) reformist group attempted to analyse these issues through the philosophy of Islamic law (Malik, 2018). The notable among them are; Sa‘id Jamîl al-Dîn Afgânî (d.1897) in Jamîyyah al-Islâmiyyah [Pan-Islamism], Muhammad ‘Abduhu (d.1905) in Al-Muwâtana al-Misrîyya [The Egyptian citizenship] (Hourani, 1983, 1-222). In the Contemporary times, Islamic Jurists and thinkers have deliberated on the concept from diverse perspectives including Fahmî Huwaydî from Egypt) (Malik, 2018, 7). His book Muwâtînîn la Dhimmîyûn (1990) [Citizens not Dhimmis] became a source of reference for later discussions on the above-mentioned issue. His boldness and courage in bringing new ijtihad was later built upon by Ṭāriq al-Bishrî, in his work Al-jam‘ah wa al-Muwâtana [Unity and Citizenship], Dr Muhammad ʻImarah in his Al-Masawah Haqquq Ilâhîyûn [Equality is a Guaranteed Right from God], Muhammad Fathi Ḥubb al-Watan [Citizenship] (Malik, 2018, 7).

3.3. Islamic Perspective

The Islamic perspective on the concept of citizenship is much wider, inclusive as well as cohesive. It is rooted in the belief system (al-ʻAqidah) of Islam. The universe has been created by Allah giving equal opportunities for all without any discrimination (The Holy Qur‘ân, 40:62). Although the Qur‘ân has not defined the concept itself, it has some clues governing this concept. The Citizenship concept in Islam is often traced back to the Qur‘ân and the Mithaqe- Madina (Al-Kitab) Which set the precedents for the latter Islamic States for dealing with non-Muslims.

Medina was given a status of a city state for first time and a code of conduct was given which is commonly called as Kitâb or Sahifa (Anjum, 2021). The document Mithâqe Medina called the first written constitution of the world, contains the notions of citizenship which correspond to the in modern times contains various elements from the, where Prophet Muhammad (SAW) laid the foundations of the state through coexistence and the protection of the state was the duty of every signatory be it Muslims or Non-Muslims. These are the basic foundations of the modern concept of citizenship (Hamidullah, 1941,1).

The word Muwâtana ‘Citizenship’ has come to limelight recently; its origin is from the root word “Watan” meaning homeland or a place which is a permanent habitat for human beings., and the term “Mawâtin” refers to the place where human beings or creatures live (Abdel-Hay, 2018, 112). The terms used by the Quran which express belongingness to certain areas or locations, are diyâr (The Holy Qur‘ân, 2:84), (3:195), (22:40), dār (The Holy Qur‘ân, 7:78), (59:9), al-balad (The Holy Qur‘ân, 90:1-2) and masakin (The Holy Qur‘ân, 20:128), (29:38), (32:26). Citizenship as per contemporary law is acquired on the basis of place of birth, blood ties or naturalisation (Kamali, 2009, 124). This criteria of either “jus soli” or “jus sanguinis” is followed throughout the world with necessary amendments as per local needs and circumstances; countries adopting one of the two or both forms of acquiring citizenship (Scott, 1930, 58-64).

The accepted model of citizenship in traditional societies under Islamic law is the one having two tiers: Muslims as the natural and automated citizens and the Non-Muslims or “Protected” called Ahl al-Dhimmah (Saeed, 1999, 307). The term Dhimmî refers to a non-Muslim citizen who is protected by Islamic law and has social and financial rights in the Muslim community. In reality, a dhimmî’s life, property, and honour are to be respected and protected in the same
way that Muslim citizens are. In reality, a *dhimmi*’s life, property, and honour are to be respected and protected in the same way that Muslim citizens are (Al-Mawdūdī, Undated, 9).

In Classical Islamic law, usually subjects are often defined on the basis of their religion. This also meant that territories were also defined keeping the official state religion in mind, resulting in the emergence of concepts of Dār al-Islam and Dār al-Harb (Calasso and Lancioni, 2017). In classical times, concepts such as ‘Nation and Country’ did not come into prominence because of this division of lands and Muslims living anywhere would be members of the Muslim Community (*Ummah*) (Akhoundaz, 2009,145). Muslims could become automatic citizens of the Muslim lands, thus making Religion the basic criterion of citizenship. Kamali (2009,125) substantiates this argument by referring to the Qur’ānic verse, making ‘Religion and Domicile’ as the basic requirements of citizenship according to Qur’ān (The Holy Qur’ān, 8:72). This framework of citizenship with terms terms like *Ahl al-Dhimmah*, *Ahl al-Islam*, *Ahl al-Ahd* and *Ahl al-Amān* partially guaranteed the fundamental rights which the modern term ‘Citizenship’ offers (Abdel-Hey, 2018, 114).

### 3.4. Contemporary Discourse

The colonisation of the Muslim lands proved to be the decisive factor in the campaign of Citizenship in the modern Muslim world. The movements of independence and the creation of nation-states paved the way for achieving the citizenship rights. The struggle was led by both Muslims and Non-Muslims for liberating their lands (Kamali, 2009, 126).

Arab Spring played a significant role in changing the mindset of the religiously conservative forces. It led to the toleration of religious plurality in the Muslim world (Fadel, 2016, 26). After the defeat of Gamal Abdel Nasser in 1967, a new trend emerged for pushing back extremism to modernism (Bowen, 2017). For compatibility with modern world, reformers were compelled to rethink and emphasise Islam’s tolerance to non-Muslims. The “New Islamist Trend” (*Al-Islamiyyun al-fadud*) considers western liberalism as a better base than socialism for building a modern Muslim nation-state. This new trend was necessitated by the events occurring since the 1967 defeat and failure of the Arab nationalism of Gamal Abdul Nasser (Anjum, 2016, 33). The people felt betrayed and were eyeing for new alternatives to build a nation-state.

The Marrakesh declaration (25th - 27th January 2016) on the occasion of 1400th anniversary of the charter of Medina under the auspices of His Majesty King Muhammad VI of Morocco, participated by Muslim scholars and intellectuals from 120 countries along with representatives of Islamic and international organizations called upon the Muslim scholars and intellectuals around the world to develop a *Fiqh al-Muwātana* (Jurisprudence of Citizenship) which is inclusive of diverse groups and such jurisprudence shall be rooted in Islamic tradition and principles and mindful of global changes. Shaykh Abdallah Bin Bayyah (B, 1935), at the invitation of His Majesty, King Mohammed VI of Morocco, convened the Marrakesh Declaration Conference in 2016, which brought together over 250 of the world’s most illustrious Islamic scholars from over sixty nations. More than a hundred religious leaders and heads of state, as well as UN delegates and senior leaders from other world religions, recognised the wisdom of the event and joined in attendance. The conference was organised in collaboration with the United Arab Emirates’ Forum for Promoting Peace in Muslim Societies, which was hosted and supported by the UAE government. From January 25 to 27, 2016, the conference was held in the Savoy le Grand Hotel in Marrakesh, Morocco (Marrakesh Declaration).

Modern concept of citizenship aims at elimination of all forms of discrimination, be it hereditary, religious, ethnic, colour or gender based. It aims to make all equal in the eyes of law, giving equal opportunities and obligations based on merit. This modern concept has redefined the concept of citizens, emphasising more on responsibilities towards the welfare of the people, including, taxes and military services (Marshall, 1950, 10-14). One of the contemporary debates around the citizenship debate is its permissibility. Whether it is permissible or not. According to, the modern form of citizenship is compulsory keeping in view the international laws, and without it no one can become the citizen of any country, it comes under the banner of permissibility (*Shahriyat*, 2015, 31).

### 3.5. Contemporary Reconciliatory Paradigm

Contemporary Muslim scholars are attempting to create a new environment for international relations and citizenship status within the new reality of nation-states, which serves as the foundation for modern countries. In a Muslim-majority country, non-Muslim residents are no longer considered second-class citizens, nor are they considered citizens as a result of battle or territorial expansions, as was formerly the case. Instead, they are now accepted as citizens of the state, with the same citizenship rights as Muslims. The nation-state framework and association with it, having feelings for it can be described as *Hājat al-Insan* (Necessity of Humans) (Al-Qaraḍawi, 2010, 126).
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6-7). This is the foundation of ‘Urf Dawli’ (worldwide convention) that governs all modern countries, which is today defined by nation-statehood and constitutionalism’s (Malik, 2018, 4).

The medieval interpretation of the terms al-Ummah, al-Dhimmah or the Islamic State are the core issues faced by Muslims worldwide. Modern Muslim scholars have deliberated on the issue and have revisited the thought process and have given new interpretations to them. The classical Dhimmah Model which used to be the part and parcel of the medieval Islamic empires went through several modifications and later during the later Ottomans, was abolished and equal Citizenship model was proclaimed. The Ottoman constitution of 1876 (Qanûni Asâsî) (nullified the three-fold division of citizens into Muslims, Dhimmis and Mustamâns and thus making the first two categories equally as citizens and the Ottoman state became de-jure "Multicultural entity", and thus the universal concept of "Nation of Islam" (Ummah) was replaced with that of "Ottoman Nation" Akgunduz, 2009, 52).

The open-door policy of accepting and adopting Muslims from all corners of the world has now been replaced with its opposite, putting restrictions and implementing the nation-state framework of only giving service to one’s nationals. The laws regarding citizenship and nationality in Muslim states have been changed while embracing the new framework of citizenship, keeping aside the rules of fiqh governing Muslim states before the nation-state framework. It was done while using new juristic tools like that of Darūrah meaning need, necessity or essential giving emphasis to the fact that there may be some obligatory situations where obtaining citizenship is necessary (146).

Muslim scholars have deliberated and discussed the two-tier citizenship model adopted by the Muslim rulers in history. Many defending the same, Mawdūdî (1903-1979), the late founder of Jamaātī Islāmi, for example, divides citizens into two distinct groups: Muslims and non-Muslims. Non-Muslims in an Islamic State are divided into three categories: (a) ‘contractees’ (those who voluntarily accept the hegemony of an Islamic state and enter into a contract with it, and are treated according to their treaty); (b) ‘conquered’ (those who are defeated in war by an Islamic state and have their territories annexed by it, and must pay the protection tax, jizya); (c)’residents’ (Non-Muslims of any other category who are in an Islamic state) (Mawdūdî, 1960, 278-300 & Mawdūdî, 1961 ), while others rejecting the same and calling it old fashioned and outdated on the basis of changed circumstances and international scenario. Rached al-Ghannouchi (b. 1941) believes that in light of the Qur’ānic Verses, Dhimmis should be the equal citizens of the Islamic State (The Holy Qur’ān, (16:90) and (2:256). He also compliments the view of the contemporary scholars that the term Dhimmi Should be avoided now because the term in itself comes with many doubts and misinterpretations (Al-Ghannouchi, 1993, 26-27). The figurehead of the Wasatiyya (Centrist) movement, Yusuf al-Qaradawi sought to develop a new fiqh of citizenship which guarantees equal political and civil rights to Muslims and non-Muslims He maintained that Dhimma was a Citizenship granted by the government to the alien who abides the constitution, therefore earning all rights of a natural citizen (Al-Qaradawi, 1985, 7 & Yaakub and Othman, 2016, 61-68).

In the Muslim world, the modern concept of citizenship is embraced, taking into account the contemporary needs and international agreements. (Shahririyat, 2015, 31). Yusuf al-Qaradawi believes that a fiqh of equal citizenship rights can be justified from the Islamic texts and its legal tradition having no needs to take help of political liberalism or secular neutrality (Warren and Gilmore, 2013, 235). He represents the thought of expanding the existing Islamic tradition through Ijtihād, fundamentally different from that of republican Islamists like Tariq Al Bishri (1933-2021) and Fahmi Huwaydî (b.1937), who consider Islamic tradition as a source of inspiration rather than a coherent system in itself. Bishri argues that national unity and equal citizenship rights are necessary for national development (Anjum, 2016, 41). Huwaydî is of the opinion that, there are several instances in the history through which we can infer that non-Muslims enjoyed equal citizenship and eight of belonging (42). They not only believe in tolerance of non-Muslims but treating them as equal citizens in an Islamic State (31). Tariq Ramadan is of the view that the tolerance maintained through the Dhimmah system is not as same as equality pronounced through Muwātana. He considers Dhimnah not to be a suitable ground on which contemporary political relationship could stand. The minority citizenship is not acceptable to him which eventually leads to discrimination (Ramadan, 2010, 168-169). He has also laid emphasis on the Ethics of Citizenship (Akhlāq al-Muwātana) (Ramadan, 2009, 271) being necessary for living.

4. CONCLUSION

The concept of Citizenship (Muwātana) has its roots in the ancient Greek and Roman conceptions of citizenship. It is still evolving amid changing international and national political, social and economic institutions. Citizenship debate in the Muslim world made inroads amid the influence it had got from the western ideas and institutional developments. The reorganization and modernization of the Ottoman institutions led to the emergence of new trends of thought. From the classical Dhimmah model, to the Ri‘āyah system of the Saljuks, to the abolishment of the Dhimmah model and declaration of equality of all the citizens without discrimination of any kind whatsoever in the
Ottoman Empire. The contemporary citizenship debate has been influenced by the contexts and circumstances of times in which they evolved.

The modern concept of citizenship is not based upon the religious identity of the persons. Either it is based upon residence in particular geographical area. So, there is fundamental difference as far as base of granting citizenship is concerned. There have been efforts of vesting new meanings and forms to the traditional framework of identity and belongingness. Hence, the Millet system or the Dhimma model can be provided a new expression while accommodating the nationalistic aspirations. Although the western institutions and democracy have seen as deceitful and misleading, the concept of citizenship grew in popularity and continues to convey an untapped need in Arab and Moslem countries. The modern concept does bring with it some challenges like that of restricting access and freedom of individuals to a particular geographical area irrespective of medieval juridical system being more open (Kamali: 2009, 151).

Those previous notions have been supplanted by the nation-state as a unit of organisation and citizenship as a basic framework of identity and connections. Despite this, the umma continues to be a larger framework of religious solidarity among Muslims, to which all Muslims, regardless of nationality or place of residence, belong (Badawi, 2001,2). There is a difference of opinion in scholars on whethermuhamma the Dhimmah model is relevant today or it has given place to Muwātana. Contradictions are faced by the tradition-based scholars like Qaradawi and republican Islamists like Huwaydi. It seems that the matter of religious minorities both in an “Islamic State” and a secular state is till date irresolvable, unless consensus is gained (Anjum, 2016). The contemporary form of discrimination in rights between individuals within the borders of national states is the distinction between citizen and non-citizen, national and alien. What we are witnessing today in Europe and eastern world is a new period of exclusivist nationalism that manifests itself in local discourse and is based on ethnicity, culture, and religion - all of which pose a severe threat to human progress (Kamali, 2009, 151). The contemporary form of discrimination in rights between individuals within the borders of national states is the distinction between citizen and non-citizen, national and alien. In the contemporary Muslim world, the republican Islamists have dominated the discourse on citizenship, although classical interpretation of the concept do have some debating points.
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